RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION Serial No. 09/776,329 Page 10 of 12

REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated July 30, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on October 30, 2003. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application 1:r reasons discussed below.

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-23 and 32-47 remain pending in the application and are shown above. Claims 3, 9-10, 24-31 and 48-50 have been a ncelled by Applicant. Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 24-28 are rejected, and claims 3-8, 11-23 and 32-47 are indicated to be allowable by the Examiner.

The Applicants have chosen to expedite prosecution of this application by amending the claims into a form indicated as allowable by the Examiner. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the original subject matter without prejudice in continuation/divisional applications.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claims 1-2 and 9-10 A. 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1, 3 and 10-11 stand rejected as being anticips ed by United States Patent No. 6,203,613 to Gates, et al., (hereinafter referred to as " Jates"). In response, the Applicants have cancelled claim 10 and amended claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 3, which has been indicated as allowable by the Examiner.

Thus, the Applicants submit that independent claim 1 a d claim 2 depending therefrom are patentable over Gates. Accordingly, the Applicar's respectfully request the rejection be withdrawn.

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION Serial No. 09/776,329 Page 11 of 12

B. 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 24-28

Claims 24-25 and 27-28 stand rejected as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,001,415 to Nogami, et al., (hereinafter referred to at "Nogami") in view of Gates. Claim 26 stands rejected as being unpatentable over Nogamin view of Gates, and in further view of United States Patent No. 6,127 203 to Joo, et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Joo"). In response, the Applicants have cancelled claims 24-28.

ALLOWED CLAIMS

The Applicants thank the Examiner for his comments regar: Ing the allowability of claims 3-8, 11-23 and 32-47. In response, claim 1 has been ar ended to include the limitations of claim 3, and claim 11 has been rewritten independent form. Accordingly, the Applicants request allowance of these claims, and all claims depending therefrom.

CONCLUSION

Thus, the Applicants submit that all claims now pendin; are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issuance are earnestly solicited.

If, however, the Examiner believes that any unresolved ssues still exist, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Mr. Keith Taboada at ('32) 530-9404 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Keith P. TABOADA Reg. No. 45,150 (732) 530-9404

Moser, Patterson & Shei dan, LLP 595 Shrewsbury Avenue

Suite 100

Shrewsbury, NJ 07702

232486

Det 30,2003